Canada
Department of Mines

Hon. LOUIS CODERRE, Minister;
R. W. BROCK Deputy Minister.

Geological Survey

Museum Bulletin No. 3

GEOLOGICAL SERIES, No. 19

OCTOBER 30, 1914

THE ANTICOSTI ISLAND FAUNAS

by

W. H. Twenhofel

OTTAWA
GOVERNMENT PRINTING BUREAU
1914

No. 1441

October 30, 1914

Canada
Geological Survey
Museum Bulletin No. 3.

GEOLOGICAL SERIES, No. 19.

The Anticosti Island Faunas.

By W. H. TWENHOFEL.

INTRODUCTION.

The study of the Anticosti Island section was undertaken by the writer in the summer of 1909, the field work being done under the auspices of Peabody Museum of Yale University. The results derived from the field work and the preliminary study of the collections, were presented by Schuchert and Twenhofel at the Boston Meeting of the Geological Society of America and, later, published in the Bulletin of the Society. Subsequently the Geological Survey of Canada generously assumed the expense connected with the study of the faunas and a memoir will ultimately be published in which the palæontology and everything pertaining to the geology will be exhaustively treated. The many questions arising from the study of the faunas have made further field work desirable, if not actually necessary, and this will be undertaken before final publication, although the manuscript and plates of the work as originally planned are now completed. In the meantime, it has not appeared wise that the information gained and the conclusions reached should be withheld and the present paper is an attempt to give a summary of the chief results. It is hoped that their publication will elicit comment and give the writer the benefit of suggestion and advice

from other workers in equivalent strata. Throughout the entire study of the collections the writer has had the critical advice of Professor Charles Schuchert and the generous co-operation of the officers and scientists of the Geological Survey of Canada. Doctor R. S. Bassler assumed the study of the bryozoa and ostracoda and the identifications of all such species are his. A large number of other scientists have given advice and assistance. To them acknowledgment will be made in the final publication.

The study of the Anticosti faunas and the section have developed five facts of importance. They are as follows: (1) Billings' statement that the section is complete from base to summit and contains no stratigraphic break is sustained; (2) many of the species have ranges through greater thicknesses than the same species have in other regions; (3) the faunas of the north and south shores show great differences which in every instance correspond to differences in lithology and hence to differences in the ecologic conditions at the time of sedimentation; (4) the section is much thicker on the north shore than on the south, contains fewer corals and no coral reefs, and the sediments are less calcareous, but far more shaly and sandy; (5) the rocks of the Anticosti section once extended far inland on the Laurentides and much higher rock once overlay the highest rocks now present.

The absence of stratigraphic breaks in part explains the long vertical ranges of many of the species, since they occur in the strata which are wanting in equivalent sections of other regions.

While the faunas of the north and south shores are markedly different in many of the zones, it is also true that they are almost absolutely identical in those zones wherein the sediments of both shores are the same. These faunal differences are rendered more conspicuous by the absence on one shore of species to which great diagnostic value has been given, but which are present on the other shore. One of the most striking examples of this fact is the presence of *Rhynchotrema perlamellosa* in great abundance and with a considerable range in the northern outcrops of the Charleton formation, while to date no collector has obtained a single specimen of this species from the south shore, although the equivalent beds are most certainly exposed and less than twenty

miles separates the two outcrops. The number of such species is quite large and will be given in the final work. These faunal differences of the two shores leads to the conclusion that the faunas of the Anticosti seas were at least partly controlled by the depth of water and the character of the sediments. There is nothing new or strange in this conclusion since similar conditions always obtain in the case of modern waters. The fact, however, has great importance in correlation; but by many writers it appears to have been almost wholly ignored and differences of fauna have been explained in other ways. Exhaustive treatment of this phase of the stratigraphy is ultimately contemplated.

Anticosti island consists of a part of a cuesta on an ancient coastal plain which probably began to develop in the Devonian and existed until the time of the post-glacial submergence. It will be called the Anticosti cuesta. About twenty miles to the north the Mingan islands fringe the Quebec shore and consist of the remnants of a parallel cuesta. This will be named the Mingan cuesta. Between the two cuestas lay an inner lowland which near the west end of Anticosti was crossed by a north-south divide from which streams drained east and west, the former being the longer. North of the Mingan cuesta is another lowland. The latter will be called the Laurentide lowland and the former the Channel lowland.

FAUNAL SUMMARY OF THE SECTION.

Introduction.

The lithic characters of the zones were given in the earlier paper[1] and repetition at this time is unnecessary. The complete faunas of each formation will be given but not zonally.

Two systems are represented in the Anticosti Island succession; Ordovician and Silurian. The basal division of the Anticosti Ordovician cannot be seen in place; but fragments in the shore material for about fifteen miles on the western end of the north shore show its presence at no great depth below the surface of the water. Since the material is most abundant and in the largest pieces near the buried divide of the Channel lowland, it is probable that the parent rock outcrops over a considerable extent on this ridge. It has been called the Macasty black shale The rock consists of a soft, highly bituminous black shale and carries a small biota of five species as follows: *Climacograptus spiniferus, C. typicalis magnificus, Leptobolus insignis, Triarthrus becki macastyensis* and *Orthoceras?* sp. Both lithology and fauna are in harmony with correlation with the Utica as developed at Ottawa and elsewhere in eastern Canada.

Ordovician System, Richmond Series.

English Head Formation. The lowest rocks of this formation meet the waters of the North channel at the edge of the reef near English head on the northwest end of the island, and the summit is placed at the top of the so-called "track bed", a bed marked by peculiar impressions which Billings considered as probably the tracks of cephalopods. The fauna consists of one hundred and seven species of which seventy-nine pass into higher formations. Brachiopods are the most numerous, both in species and individuals, with the gastropods vying with them in each

[1] Schuchert and Twenhofel, Bull. Geol. Soc. Am., Vol. 21, 1910.

respect. The latter have an aspect somewhat more ancient than is generally found in equivalent strata, but as they are associated with many typical Richmond species, they are considered survivors of older deposits and given little weight. The formation has a thickness of 229 feet. The complete fauna of the formation is as follows:—

1 Lycrophycus formosum
2 L. robustum
3 L. vagans
4 Sarichnites abruptus
5 Rauffella cf. filosa
6 Calapœcia canadense
7 Mesograptus putillus
8 Paleofavosites aspera
9 Streptelasma angulatum
10 S. rusticum
11 Periglyptocrinus sp.
12 Cornulites flexuosus
13 Arthroclema angulare
14 Dianulites n. sp.
15 Dicranopora fragilis
16 Phaceloporа pertenuis
17 Ptilodictya magnifica
18 P. whiteavesi
19 Sceptropora facula
20 Catazyga anticostiensis
21 Dalmanella testudinaria meeki
22 Dinobolus n. sp. 1.
23 Dinorthis n. sp.
24 Hebertella maria
25 Leptaena ? ceres
26 L. ? nitens
27 Lingula forbesi
28 Parastrophia lenticularis
29 Pholidops n. sp.
30 Plectambonites sericeus
31 Protozeuga anticostiana
32 Pseudolingula elegantula
33 Rafinesquina n. sp.
34 Rhynchotrema anticostiensis
35 R. perlamellosa
36 Strophomena fluctuosa
37 S. hecuba
38 S. n. sp.
39 Trematis ottawaensis n. var.
40 Zygospira recurvirostra n. var.
41 Byssonychia n. sp.
42 Cyrtodonta anticostiensis
43 C. harrietti
44 C. ? insularis
45 Pterinea bellilineata
46 P. prolifica
47 Rhytimya emma
48 Vanuxemia ungulata
49 Whitella plebia
50 W. sigmoidea
51 Archinacella estella
52 Bellerophon ? fraternus
53 B. ? miser
54 B. ? solitarius
55 B. ? n. sp.
56 Clathrospira subconica
57 Hormotoma gracilis
58 Liospira americana
59 Lophospira ? circe
60 L. ? modesta
61 L. ? varians
62 Metoptoma ? alceste
63 Oxydiscus n. sp.
64 Palæacmea n. sp.
65 Phragmolites desiderata
66 P. pannosa
67 Raphistoma n. sp.
68 Salpingostoma canadensis
69 Sinuites cf. bilobata
70 Trochonema umbilicata
71 Conularia asperata
72 Pterotheca n. sp.
73 Actinoceras anticostiensis
74 A. sedgwicki
75 Apsidoceras magnificum
76 Ascoceras n. sp.
77 Billingsites canadense
78 B. newberryi
79 Cycloceras crocus
80 C. cf. nicolleti
81 Endoceras proteiforme
82 Orthoceras seiboldi
83 Poterioceras obesum
84 Spyroceras bilineatum
85 S. ferum
86 Triptoceras xiphius
87 Bollia semilunata
88 Bythocypris lindstrœmi
89 B. obtusa
90 Krausella anticostiensis
91 Macrocypris subcylindrica
92 Schmidtella sublenticularis
93 Amphilichas n. sp.

94	Brachyaspis	altilis	100	Encrinurus	multisegmentatus
95	B.	notans			
96	Bumastes	orbicaudatus	101	Eoharpes	ottawaensis
97	Ceraurus	numitor	102	Isotellus	gigas
98	C.	pleurexanthemus	103	I.	cf. maximus
			104	Pterygometopus	n. sp.
99	Ceraurinus	icarus	105	Ischyrina	winchelli

Charleton Formation. The English Head formation is succeeded without lithologic or stratigraphic break by the Charleton formation. The faunas are likewise continuous and typical Richmond species which are introduced in the former become exceedingly abundant in the latter. A fact of some importance for geography and stratigraphy is the greatly increased thickness of the formation in the northern outcrops, the thickness of the south shore consisting of 730 feet, while that of the north exceeds 900 feet. The lithology of the north shore is also quite different from that of the south, the latter consisting largely of limestones and shales with the former predominating, while on the north shore shales are far more important and toward the top much sand is present, although a real sandstone is not developed.

Corals which occur quite commonly in the English Head formation, here become abundant, particularly on the south side, where heads of nearly three feet diameter occur. Through a considerable thickness near the middle of the formation the peculiar hydroid, Beatricia, lies around on the reef like logs in a swamp, or, slightly salient in the cliffs, projects like guns from a battery. Gastropods are not nearly so important as in the English Head, while the brachiopods play a greater rôle. The complete fauna consists of one hundred and sixty species of which seventy-five have come from the English Head. Sixty species are confined to the formation and fifty-six pass into succeeding formations, twenty-eight of which have come from the English Head. The species of the formation are:—

1	Lockeia	n. sp.	8	Calapœcia	canadense
2	Lycrophycus	vagans	9	Columnaria	alveolata
3	Rusophycus	bilobatum	10	Halysites	catenulatus
4	Hindia	fibrosa	11	Lyellia	affinis
5	Rauffella	cf. filosa	12	Lyopora	goldfussi
6	Beatricia	nodulosa	13	Paleofavosites	aspera
7	B.	undulata	14	P.	aspera n. var.

15 Streptelasma angulatum
16 S. rusticum
17 Zaphrentis affinis
18 Carabocrinus ? tuberculatus
19 Cupulocrinus latibranchiatus
20 Dendrocrinus ? tener
21 Hudsonaster rugosus
22 Pleurocystites anticostiensis
23 Retiocrinus fimbriatus
24 Cornulites flexuosus
25 C. richmondensis
26 Arthroclema angulare
27 Batostoma n. sp.
28 Bythopora striata
29 Chasmatopora granistriata
30 Corynotrypa dissimilis
31 Cyphotrypa bulbosa
32 C. n. sp.
33 Dicranopora emacerata
34 D. fragilis
35 Eridotrypa simulatrix
36 Glauconema strigosa
37 Goniotrypa bilateralis
38 Hallopora n. sp. 1.
39 H. n. sp. 2.
40 Helopora imbricata
41 Homotrypa n. sp.
42 Lioclemella nitida
43 Mitoclema ? n. sp.
44 Nematopora lineata
45 Pachydictya firma
46 P. hexagonalis
47 Prasopora n. sp.
48 Protocrisina exigua
49 Ptilodictya canadensis
50 P. flagellum
51 P. magnifica
52 P. whiteavesi
53 Rhinodictya nitidula
54 Sceptropora facula
55 Catazyga anticostiensis
56 Chonetes primigenius
57 Clitambonites verneuili
diversus
58 Crania n. sp.
59 Dalmanella testudinaria
meeki
60 Dinobolus n. sp. 1
61 Dinorthis n. sp.
62 Eichwaldia ? anticostiensis
63 Hebertella maria
64 Hyattidina charletona
65 Leptæna ? ceres
66 L. ? nitens
67 L. ? reticulata
68 L. ? n. sp.
69 Lingula ? canadensis
70 L. ? forbesi
71 Orthis davidson in. var.
72 Parastrophia lenticularis
73 Pholidops n. sp.
74 Plectambonites sericeus
75 Protozeuga anticostiana
76 Pseudolingula elegantula
77 Rafinesquina n. sp.
78 Rhipidomella sola
79 Rhynchotrema anticostiensis
80 R. perlamellosa
81 Schizocrania filosa
82 Schuchertella percn
83 Strophomena antiquata
84 S. ? arethusa
85 S. fluctuosa
86 S. hecuba
87 S. n. sp.
88 Trematis ottawaensis n. var.
89 Zygospira recurvirostra n. var.
90 Byssonychia n. sp.
91 Ctenodonta cf. obliqua
92 Cyrtodonta anticostiensis
93 C. harrietti
94 Pterinea bellilineata
95 P. prolifica
96 P. varistriata
97 Rhytimya emma
98 Whitella plebia
99 W. sigmoidea
100 Bellerophon n. sp.
101 Clathrospira subconica
102 Cyclonema thalia
103 C. n. sp.
104 Hormotoma gracilis
105 H. multivolvis
106 H. teretiformis
107 Liospira americana
108 L. n. sp.
109 Lophospira modesta
110 L. n. sp. 1.
111 L. n. sp. 2.
112 Phragmolites pannosa
113 Raphistoma n. sp.
114 Salpingostoma canadensis
115 Sinuites cf. bilobata
116 Subulites richardsoni
117 Conularia splendida
118 C. n. sp.
119 Pterotheca n. sp.
120 Actinoceras anticostiensis
121 A. ? fulgor
122 A. sedgwicki
123 Billingsites canadense
124 B. newberryi
125 Cycloceras cf. nicolleti
126 Cyrtoceras n. sp.

127	Endoceras	proteiforme
128	Litoceras	hercules
129	Orthoceras	formosum
130	O.	lyelli
131	O.	magnisulcatum
132	O.	seiboldi
133	O.	n. sp.
134	Poterioceras	apertum
135	P.	obesum
136	Spyroceras	bilineatum
137	S.	ferum
138	Aparchites	minutissimus
139	Beyrichia	parallela
140	Bollia	semilunata
141	Bythocypris	cylindrica
142	B.	lindstrœmi
143	B.	obtusa
144	Ctenobolbina	hammelli
145	Krausella	anticostiensis
146	Primitia	lativa
147	Primitiella	canadensis
148	Schmidtella	sublenticularis
149	Tetradella	lunatifera
150	T.	simplex
151	Ulrichia	nodosa
152	Brachyaspis	alacer
153	B.	altilis
154	Bumastes	orbicaudatus
155	Calymmene	callicephala
156	Ceraurus	pleurexanthemus
157	Ceraurinus	icarus
158	Chasmops	n. sp.
159	Isotellus	gigas
160	I.	cf. maximus
161	Proetus	alaricus
162	Ischyrina	winchelli

Ordovician System, Gamachian Series.

Ellis Bay Formation. On the north shore the sandy shales of the Charleton formation give place without stratigraphic break to the basal Ellis Bay sands; but on the south shore the sequence is continued with limestones and shales, the latter becoming more important near the middle. The formation is excellently and extensively exposed in Ellis bay on the south shore and Prinstie bay on the north. On the south side the thickness is 180 feet, but in the northern outcrops it greatly exceeds this figure.

This formation is placed in a series distinct from the Richmond, the ground being taken that it is younger than any division assigned to that series. On the other hand it is considered older than any North American formation referred to the Silurian. The great number of Richmond species which continue into this formation and the total absence of any evidence for a break of any kind are considered good reasons for its retension in the Ordovician. It is to be noticed, however, that twenty-four of the twenty-six species of Charleton bryozoa become extinct with that formation and that of the twenty-two species of Ellis Bay bryozoa, twenty species are introduced with the Ellis Bay formation. Furthermore, the Ellis Bay bryozoa have their closest affinities with Silurian faunas, although fifteen of the species become extinct within the formation.

The fauna is one of the largest of any of the island's formations and nearly every species is represented by numerous individuals, although their vertical ranges are generally not extensive. Near the top occurs the first coral reef of the Anticosti section, but it is found only in the southern outcrops. It is about ten feet thick and formed almost wholly of *Paleofavosites*, *Lyellia*, and *Halysites*. On the present wave cut reef the coral masses rise as small mounds and in the cliffs the reef appears as a structureless mass with the superjacent beds overarching it, giving rise to an appearance of folding. Also near the top, but below the coral reef, is the second *Beatricia* zone and here they are equally as numerous as in the Charleton zone. The total fauna consists of one hundred and forty-two species of which thirty-five originate in the English Head formation and twenty-three in the Charleton. Fifty-eight species are confined to the formation and one hundred and seven species—nearly eighty per cent of the fauna—become extinct therein. The species are:—

1 Cyclocrinites halli
2 Ischadites ? insularis
3 Hindia fibrosa
4 Rauffella cf. filosa
5 Beatricia nodulosa
6 B. undulata
7 Calapœcia canadense
8 Clathrodictyon vesiculosum
9 Columnaria alveolata
10 Dictyonema n. sp. (doubtful as to its having been collected here)
11 Favosites forbesi
12 Halysites catenulatus
13 Lyellia affinis
14 L. exigua
15 L. speciosa
16 Mastigograptus cf. simplex
17 Paleofavosites aspera
18 P. aspera n. var.
19 Protarea tenuis
20 P. vetusta
21 Streptelasma selectum
22 Strombodes diffluens (doubtful as to its having been collected here)
23 Zaphrentis affinis
24 Z. n. sp.
25 Cornulites richmondensis
26 Allonema botellus
27 Atactoporella n. sp.
28 Ceramopora niagarensis n. var.
29 Chasmatopora angulata
30 Corynotrypa dissimilis
31 Cyphotrypa bulbosa
32 C. polygona
33 Dianulites n. sp.
34 Glauconema strigosa
35 Hallopora elegantula n. var.
36 H. magnipora
37 Helopora lineopora
38 Lichinalia n. sp.
39 Lioclema varioporum
40 Nematopora lineata
41 Nicholsonella parvula
42 Pachydictya crassa
43 Phænopora ensiformis
44 P. excellens
45 Ptilodictya gladiola
46 Stomotopora siluriana
47 S. arachnoidea
48 Atrypa marginalis
49 Atrypina n. sp.

50 Chonetes primigenius
51 Clitambonites verneuili diversus
52 Crania n. sp.
53 Dalmanella ruida
54 D. testudinaria meeki
55 Dinobolus n. sp. 1. n. var.
56 Dinorthis anticostiensis
57 Hebertella maria
58 Hindella prinstana
59 H. umbonata
60 Leptæna rhomboidalis
61 L. ? ceres
62 L. ? nitens
63 L. ? reticulata
64 L. ? n. sp.
65 Lingula forbesi
66 L. insularis
67 Orthis davidsoni n. var
68 O. laurentina
69 O. lamellosa
70 Parastrophia lenticularis
71 P. reversa
72 Pholidops n. sp.
73 Platystrophia dentata
74 P. dentata n. var.
75 P. fissicostata
76 Plectambonites sericeus
77 Pseudolingula elegantula
78 Rhipidomella uberis
79 R. uberis rhynchonelliformis
80 Rhynchotrema anticostiensis
81 R. janea
82 R. n. sp.
83 Rhynchonella ? nutrix
84 Schuchertella pecten
85 Strophomena fluctuosa
86 S. fluctuosa n. var.
87 S. hecuba
88 S. semiovalis
89 Trematis ottawaensis n. var.
90 Byssonychia n. sp.
91 Clionychia ? superba
92 Ctenodonta cf. simulatrix
93 Cuneamya n. sp. 1
94 C. n. sp. 2
95 Pterinea striata
96 P. varistriata
97 Vanuxemia accutumbona
98 Bucania n. sp.
99 Clathrospira subconica
100 Cyclonema thalia
101 Cyrtospira notata
102 Diaphorostoma humilis
103 Eccyliomphalus n. sp.
104 Hormotoma gigantea
105 H. gracilis
106 Liospira americana
107 L. helena
108 L. n. sp.
109 Lophospira ? papillosa
110 L. sybellina
111 L. n. sp. 1
112 L. n. sp. 2
113 Loxonema rugosa
114 Oxydiscus n. sp.
115 Palæacmæa n. sp.
116 Phragmolites desiderata
117 Salpingostoma canadensis
118 Schizolopha n. sp.
119 Sinuites cf. bilobata
120 Subulites richardsoni
121 S. n. sp.
122 Tetranota cf. obsoleta
123 Actinoceras sedgwicki
124 Apsidoceras magnificum ?
125 Billingsites newberryi
126 Cycloceras crocus
127 Oncoceras fragile
128 Orthoceras formosum
129 O. seiboldi
130 Poterioceras n. sp.
131 Brachyaspis alacer
132 B. notans
133 Bumastes orbicaudatus
134 Calymmene callicephala
135 Ceraurus pleurexanthemus
136 Ceraurinus icarus
137 Chasmops truncato-caudatus
138 C. n. sp.
139 Cyphaspis n. sp.
140 Encrinurus multisegmentatus
141 Isotelus gigas
142 I. cf. maximus
143 Sphaerocoryphe salteri
144 Technophorus plicata

Silurian System, Anticosti Series.

Becsie River Formation. The passage from the Ellis Bay to the Becsie River formation witnesses the extinction of about eighty per cent of the Ellis Bay fauna and the major portion of this extinction takes place in the upper three zones, which in their rapid lithic and faunal changes presage the initiation of a new geologic cycle; but beginning with the first zone of the Becsie River formation, stability of sedimentation and fauna is again instituted. Beyond the faunal evidence, there is none other, either structural or depositional, suggesting a stratigraphic break and the faunal change can not be taken to indicate any interruption of deposition, since it can as readily be explained by a change in ecology which may have been brought about by some physical event in a region comparatively distant, and until more is known of the factors that determine the characters of faunas, the causes of their local extinction and the replacement of one by another, it appears to the writer to be idle to assume that faunal changes are indicative of breaks unless they are accompanied by other evidence. Since no stratigraphic break has been ascertained, the base of the Becsie River formation and the Silurian has been somewhat arbitrarily placed where there is the most decided faunal and lithic change.

In the earlier paper by Schuchert and Twenhofel, the writers were inclined to the opinion that the early Silurian beds of Anticosti could be embraced within the series term Niagaran. This view has now been abandoned, since it appears that it would give the term too great an extension beyond its original application.

Savage has lately proposed the series term Alexandrian for certain early Silurian deposits of southwestern Illinois and eastern Missouri, the series to embrace all deposits between the Ordovician and the Clinton[1]. In 1857, Billings proposed to place all the Anticosti section above what is now denoted as the Charleton formation in a new group which he proposed to call the Anticosti group, considering this portion of the Anticosti section as holding a position intermediate between the Ordo-

[1] Savage, Bull. Geol. Soc. Am., Vol. 24, 1913, p. 351.

vician (Hudson River beds) and the Niagara limestone.[1] I has since been learned that he erred in including too much since the lowest division of his Anticosti group belongs to th Ordovician and the upper two divisions to the Clinton and highe formations (Niagaran). The future employment of Billings term requires its emendation and it appears to the writer tha this should be done, since Billings gave the term its proper significance, erring only in including too much, and also in tha the Anticosti section is far more complete and hence far more representative of this time than any other on the North American continent. This course has been followed in the present paper. In the final paper the matter will be more adequately treated.

Silurian deposition was initiated by the formation of a yellowish-white limestone in which is recorded the almost complete disappearance of the species which had been so abundant in the Ellis Bay formation. The tabulate corals, however, form an exception, since they continue in almost undiminished numbers. The number of species decreases to thirty-nine, of which nineteen have come from below, consisting for the most part of the Anticosti and generally well-known long ranging corals and brachiopods. In the lower half of the formation the number of species is few and none is abundantly represented, but in the upper portion there are more species and most are extremely abundant in individuals. Nineteen of the thirty-nine species are brachiopods. The thickness of the formation is 188 feet. The species present are:—

1 Cyclocrinites halli
2 Clathrodictyon vesiculosum
3 Cyathophyllum wahlenbergi
4 Diphyphyllum cæspitosum
5 Favosites forbesi
6 F. gothlandicus
7 Halysites catenulatus
8 Lyellia affinis
9 Paleofavosites aspera
10 Zaphrentis stokesi
11 Helopora concava
12 H. formosa
13 Pachydictya crassa
14 Phænopora superba
5 Ptilodictya gladiola
6 Atrypa marginalis
17 Brachyprion leda
18 B. n. sp.
19 Camarotœchia neglecta
20 Clorinda undata n. var.
21 Cœlospira planoconvexa
22 Crania n. sp.
23 Hindella prinstana
24 H. umbonata
25 Orthis davidsoni n. var.
26 O. ? flabellites
27 Parastrophia lenticularis

[1] Billings, Rept. Progress 1853-1856, Geol. Surv. of Canada, 1857, p. 250.

28	Platystrophia	dentata
29	Rhipidomella	uberis
30	R.	uberis rhynchonelliformis
31	Schuchertella	pecten
32	Virgiana	barrandei
33	V.	barrandei n. var.
34	V.	n. sp.
35	Bumastes	orbicaudatus
36	Calymmene	callicephala
37	C.	niagarensis
38	Cyphaspis	n. sp.
39	Illænus	grandis

Gun River Formation. Corals play a greater rôle in the Gun River than in any previous formation, but the common species are the same as those of lower horizons. Two large reefs occur in the southern outcrops, one at St. Ann cliff and the other at East cliff. They are not, however, in the same horizon and there is none on the north side. Fossils are abundant in almost every zone and the vertical range of each species is generally quite extensive. The total fauna consists of one hundred and thirty species of which forty-eight are brachiopods and these constitute fully eighty per cent of the individuals. Of the entire fauna eighty-seven originate in this formation and forty-four are derived from lower horizons. The formation has a thickness of 500 feet. The species are:—

1	Buthotrephis	cf. gracilis
2	Rusophycus	bilobatum
3	Cyclocrinitis	gregarius
4	C.	intermedius
5	Ischadites	kœnigi
6	Aulopora	cf. precius
7	Clathrodictyon	vesiculosum
8	Climacograptus	typicalis n. var.
9	Cyathophyllum	euryone
10	C.	wahlenbergi
11	Cystiphyllum	niagarense
12	Diphyphyllum	cæspitosum
13	Favosites	forbesi
14	F.	gothlandicus
15	Halysites	catenulatus
16	Heleolites	subtubulata
17	Lyellia	affinis
18	Paleofavosites	aspera
19	P.	aspera n. var.
20	Petraia	pygmea
21	Streptelasma	latusculum
22	Strombodes	diffluens
23	Syringopora	verticillata
24	Zaphrentis	stokesi
25	Z.	n. sp. 1
26	Z.	n. sp. 2
27	Cornulites	richmondensis
28	C.	serpularius
29	Allonema	curtum
30	Ascodictyon	n. sp.
31	Ceramopora	niagarensis n. var.
32	Corynotrypa	dissimilis
33	C.	elongata
34	Diploclema	sparsum
35	Helopora	bellula
36	H.	concava
37	H.	formosa
38	H.	lineopora
39	Pachydictya	crassa
40	Phænopora	ensiformis
41	P.	excellens
42	P.	superba
43	P.	n. sp.
44	Ptilodictya	gladiola
45	P.	sulcata
46	Semnocoscinium	n. sp.
47	Vinella	radiciformis
48	Atrypa	reticularis
49	Bilobites	biloba
50	Brachyprion	leda
51	B.	philomena
52	B.	n. sp. 1

53	B.	n. sp. 2
54	B.	n. sp. 3
55	Camarotœchia	decemplicata
56	C.	fringilla
57	C.	glacialis
58	C.	neglecta
59	C.	? pyrrha
60	Chonetes	primigenius
61	Clorinda	linguifera
62	Cœlospira	hemispherica
63	C.	planoconvexa (zone 1 only)
64	Dalmanella	n. sp.
65	Hebertella	n. sp.
66	Hindella	umbonata
67	Homeospira	n. sp.
68	Hyattidina	congesta junea
69	Leptæna	rhomboidalis
70	Orthis	davidsoni n. var.
71	O.	? flabellites
72	Pentamerus	oblongus
73	Pholidops	implicata
74	Platystrophia	dentata
75	Plectambonites	transversalis
76	P.	n. sp.
77	Rhipidomella	uberis
78	R.	uberis rhynchonelliformis
79	Rhynchonella	? nutrix
80	Schuchertella	alterniradiata
81	S.	pecten
82	Stricklandinia	brevis
83	S.	davidsoni
84	S.	lirata
85	S.	salteri
86	Strophomena	antiquata
87	Strophoprion	geniculatum
88	Triplecia	insularis n. v
89	Whitfieldia	? lara
90	W.	? solitaria
91	Zygospira	paupera
92	Z	n. sp.
93	Pterinea	emacerata
94	P.	striata
95	P.	thisbe
96	P.	n. sp.
97	Cyclonema	bellula
98	Diaphorostoma	humilis
99	D.	niagarensis
100	Euomphalus	? n. sp.
101	Hormotoma	? aculeata
102	H.	? funata
103	Pleurotomaria	? cryptata
104	Salpingostoma	n. sp.
105	Tentaculites	cf. minutis
106	T.	ornatus
107	Actinoceras	infelix
108	Huronia	persiphonatum
109	Kionoceras	bellatulum
110	Orthoceras	raptor
111	Beyrichia	parallela
112	Eurychilina	billingsi
113	Leperditia	anticostiensis
114	Bumastes	orbicaudatus
115	Calymmene	niagarensis
116	C.	cf. vogdesi
117	Cheirurus	nuperus
118	Dalmanites	caudatus n. var.
119	Encrinurus	punctatus
120	E.	punctatus n. var.
121	Illænus	grandis
122	Lichas	canadensis
123	Phacopidella	orestes

Jupiter River Formation. With progress upward the Gun River formation becomes more shaly and this culminates in the second zone of the Jupiter River formation which is almost entirely so, though carrying a small proportion of sand. Following the shale zone the sediments become more calcareous. The above statements apply only to the western outcrops of the south shore. In the eastern outcrops, both the upper Gun River and the Jupiter River formations consist of alternating shales and limestones. The thickness in the western outcrops is 562 feet, that in the eastern is unknown.

In the western outcrops the ecologic conditions at the time of deposition provided a facies favourable for graptolites and tri-

lobites and such are present in considerable abundance. The fauna consists of one hundred and forty-seven species of which forty-six are brachiopods. Sixty-five species are introduced in the formation and one hundred and twelve species do not appear in the succeeding division. The apparently local extinction of this great number of species has no great significance since it was probably determined by the entrance of the reef coral-crinoid faunas which were in complete possession of the parts of the Anticosti sea bottom whose preserved deposits now constitute the Chicotte formation. To what factors these faunas owe their entrance cannot be said and speculation appears idle. The species of the Jupiter River formation are:—

1	Buthotrephis	cf gracilis
2	Hyalostelia	? n. sp.
3	Alveolites	labechi
4	Chonophyllum	canadense
5	Clathrodictyon	variolare
6	C.	vesiculosum
7	Climacograptus	n. sp.
8	Cœnites	labrosus
9	C.	lunatus
10	Cyathophyllum	anticostiense
11	C.	n. sp.
12	Cystiphyllum	niagarense
13	Dictyonema	n. sp.
14	Favosites	favosus
15	F.	forbesi
16	F.	gothlandicus
17	F.	hisingeri
18	Halysites	catenulatus
19	Heleolites	interstincta
20	H.	subtubulata
21	Lyellia	affinis
22	Monograptus	clintonensis
23	Paleofavosites	aspera
24	Petraia	pygmea
25	Plasmopora	petalliformis
26	Streptelasma	latusculum
27	Syringopora	verticillata
28	Zaphrentis	patens
29	Z.	stokesi
30	Z.	n. sp.
31	Crotallocrinus	sp.
32	Eucalyptocrinus	sp.
33	Cornulites	serpularius
34	Allonema	botellus
35	Ascodictyon	n. sp.
36	Chilotrypa	circe
37	Diploclema	sparsum
38	Fenestella	sp. 1
39	F.	n. sp. 2
40	Helopora	bellula
41	H.	concava
42	H.	formosa
43	Lioclema	varioporum
44	Pachydictya	crassa
45	Phænopora	n. sp.
46	Ptilodictya	gladiola
47	P.	sulcata
48	Thamniscus	n. sp.
49	Trematopora	irregularis
50	Vinella	multiradiata
51	V.	radiciformis
52	Atrypa	reticularis
53	Bilobites	biloba
54	Brachyprion	leda
55	B.	philomena
56	B.	n. sp. 1
57	B.	n. sp. 2
58	Camarotœchia	? argentea
59	C.	decemplicata ?
60	C.	glacialis
61	C.	neglecta ?
62	Chonetes	primigenius
63	Clorinda	linguifera
64	Cœlospira	hemispherica
65	Crania	n. sp.
66	Dalmanella	elegantula media
67	D.	n. sp.
68	Eospirifer	radiatus
69	Homeospira	n. sp.
70	Leptæna	julia
71	L.	rhomboidalis
72	Lingula	n. sp. 1
73	L.	n. sp 2
74	Lissatrypa	atheroidea
75	Orthis	? flabellites
76	Pentamerus	oblongus

77	Pholidops	implicata
78	Plectambonites	transversalis
79	P.	n. sp
80	Rhipidomella	uberis
81	R.	uberis rhyncho-nelliformis
82	Rhynchonella	? nutrix
83	Schuchertella	pecten
84	Stricklandinia	brevis
85	S.	davidsoni
86	S.	davidsoni n. var
87	S.	lirata
88	S.	melissa
89	S.	salteri
90	S.	n. sp.
91	Strophomena	antiquata
92	Strophoprion	geniculatum
93	Triplecia	insularis n. var.
94	Whitfieldia	? julia
95	W.	? lara
96	Zygospira	mica
97	Z.	paupera
98	Conocardium	elegantulum
99	Ctenodonta	cf. socialis
100	Modiolopsis	miser
101	Mytilarca	cf. mytiliformis
102	M.	nitida
103	Pterinea	curiosa
104	P.	emacerata
105	P.	striata
106	P.	thisbe
107	Cyclonema	communis
108	C.	percingulata
109	Diaphorostoma	humilis
110	D.	niagarensis
111	Hormotoma	? aculeata
112	H.	? funata
113	H.	? turricula
114	Holopea	mediocris
115	Pleurotomaria	? cryptat
116	Salpingostoma	n. sp.
117	Conularia	niagarensi
118	Tentaculites	cf. minutis
119	T.	ornatus
120	Actinoceras	? felix
121	A.	whitei
122	Glossoceras	? desiderat
123	Huronia	persiphon
124	H.	vertebralis
125	Kionoceras	bellatulum
126	Oncoceras	futile
127	Orthoceras	n. sp. 1
128	O.	n. sp. 2
129	Aparchites	minutissim
130	Beyrichia	venusta
131	Eurychilina	billingsi
132	[illegible]ditia	anticostien
133	L.	frontalis
134	Macrocypris	subcylindri
135	Calymmene	niagarensis
136	C.	cf. vogdesi
137	Cheirurus	nuperus
138	Cyphaspis	christyi
139	Cybele	elegantulus
140	Dalmanites	caudatus n.
141	Encrinurus	punctatus
142	E.	punctatus var.
143	E.	n. sp.
144	Illænus	grandis
145	Lichas	n. sp.
146	Phacopidella	orestes
147	Proetus	? perplexa

Chicotte Formation. The Chicotte facies was one favour the development of reef corals and crinoids and the entrance these faunas and the ecologic conditions to which the entra was due, drove the mud loving animals of the Jupiter River extinction or to other parts of the sea bottom. The thickness the formation is 73 feet, the greater part of which consists either a structureless mass of corals plastered over each other, a breccia formed of the broken stems of crinoids. In some pla the rock is so highly crystalline as to constitute a marble.

The fauna consists of fifty-two species of which ninete are introduced in the formation. This is the only one of t Anticosti formations in which the corals outnumber the brach pods in species and individuals; in respect to species the ratio

two to one and in respect to individuals there is no comparison. The species are:—

1	Chonophyllum	canadense
2	Clathrodictyon	variolare
3	C.	vesiculosum
4	Cornites	labrosus
5	Cyathophyllum	anticostiense
6	C.	articulatum
7	Favosites	favosus
8	F.	[illegible]
9	F.	[illegible]
10	F.	[illegible]
11	Halysite	[illegible]
12	Heliolite	[illegible]
13	H.	[illegible]
14	H.	[illegible]
15	Lyellia	[illegible]
16	L.	[illegible]
17	Paleof.	[illegible]
18	Plasmo[illegible]	[illegible]
19	Zaphrentis	[illegible]
20	Z.	[illegible]
21	Crotallocr[illegible]	[illegible]
22	Fenestell[illegible]	[illegible]
23	Pachyd[illegible]	[illegible]
24	Atrypa	[illegible]
25	A.	[illegible]
26	Camarotoechia	[illegible]
27	Cyrtia	[illegible]
28	Dalmanella	elegantula media
29	Eospirifer	radiatus
30	Leptæna	rhomboidalis
31	Parastrophia	ops
32	Pentamerus	oblongus
33	Rhipidomella	uberis
34	Conocardium	elegantulum
35	Cyclonema	communis
36	C.	decora
37	C.	varians
38	Platyceras	niagarensis
39	Actinoceras	bucki
40	A.	medon
41	Huronia	vertebralis
42	Oncoceras	amator
43	Orthoceras	bucklandi
44	O.	? pileolum
45	O.	n. sp.
46	Phragmoceras	n. sp.
47	Cheirurus	nuperus
48	[illegible]olus	insularis
49	[illegible]us	consuetus
50	I[illegible]us	grandis
51	Pseudosphærexochus	canadensis

CORRELATION.

The English Head and Charleton formations are correlated directly with the [illegible] Richmond and are considered [illegible] equivalents, the great number of species common to [illegible] and the order of their vertical occurrence renderi[illegible] lation practically positive and leading to the inferen[illegible] and open communication prevailed between th[illegible] during the times of deposition of at least the upper p[illegible] of the English Head formation and the whole of the Charleton. The common species are:—

1	Rusophycus	bilobatum
2	Hindia	fibrosa
3	Beatricia	nodulosa
4	B.	undula[illegible]
5	Calapœcia	canadensis
6	Columnaria	alveolata
7	Lyopora	goldfussi
8	Mesograptus	putillus
9	Streptelasma	rusticum
10	Cornulites	richmondensis
11	Arthroclema	angulare
12	Bythopora	striata

13	Chasmatopora	granistriata
14	Dicranopora	emacerata
15	D.	fragilis
16	Eridotrypa	simulatrix
17	Helopora	imbricata
18	Lioclemella	nitida
19	Mitoclema	n. sp.
20	Pachydictya	firma
21	Phacelopora	pertenuis
22	Protocrisina	exigua
23	Ptilodictya	flagellum
24	P.	magnifica
25	Semocoscinium	pretiosa
26	Stomotopora	arachnoidea
27	Catazyga	anticostiensis
28	Clitambonites	verneuili diversus
29	Dalmanella	testudinaria meeki
30	Dinorthis	subquadrata n. sp.
31	Leptaena	rhomboidalis (appears in lower Ellis bay)
32	L.	? nitens
33	Platystrophia	dentata-c rata
34	Plectambonites	sericeu
35	Rhynchotrema	anticost
36	R.	perlamell
37	Schizocrania	filosa
38	Strophomena	fluctuosa
39	Byssonychia	cf. radiata
40	Ctenodonta	cf. obliqua
41	Pterinea	prolificus- sa
42	Hormotoma	gracilis
43	Sinuites	cf. bilobata
44	Aparchites	minutissir
45	Beyrichia	parallela
46	Bythocypris	cylindrica
47	Tetradella	lunatifera
48	T.	simplex
49	Ulrichia	nodosa
50	Calymmene	callicepha
51	Ceraurus	pleurexan mus
52	Ceraurinus	icarus
53	Isotellus	gigas
54	I.	cf. maximus

It is significant of the above list that it embraces son the most common of the English Head and Charleton spe but that many common forms of the Interior are wanting. S nearly all the forms considered belong to the benthos in adul but plankton in the early stages when distribution is affecte currents, it is s gested that the Anticosti Richmond form of North Atlantic origin and were carried into the Mississip sea by westward trending currents which made it almost im sible for interior species to reach Anticosti.

One of the most striking examples of the parallelism bet the Richmond faunas of the Interior and those of Anticos that afforded by the outcrops at Stony mountain in Manit where out of a total of fifty-three identifiable forms, there thirty which are present in the Anticosti rocks, and of t thirty species, no less than twenty-two are considered i fossils to the Richmond. The distribution of the species is similar to that in the Anticosti beds, so that a correlation ca made with zones 3, 4. and 5 of the Charleton formation th practically positive.

The faunas of the Ellis Bay formation are partly derivative from those of the previous formations, partly indigenous, and partly migrants from European seas. Most of the species consist of forms not elsewhere known in America, or not in a horizon so low as this. That there is a decided Richmond aspect is clearly evident; but the assemblage is not identifiable with that of any interior deposit. This suggests that the interior was free from marine waters, or that all paths permitting migration to the interior were closed. The former view is adopted and it is hence concluded that the Ellis Bay formation has no equivalent in North America.

The lack of recent comprehensive works on British stratigraphy and palæontology renders correlation with British sections difficult and this is particularly true for the English Head and Charleton formations; but the evidence indicates that these two formations find an equivalence high up in the Bala series. The Ellis Bay formation contains eleven species which are also found in the English Bala, of which seven are considered diagnostic by reason of their first appearance or limited vertical distribution, and a correlation based on the common presence of these species would assign at least the lower portion of the Ellis Bay to the upper Bala.

In the Kristiana region of Norway, the Ordovician and Silurian have lately been exhaustively studied by Professor Kiaer. He erects a number of divisions and the Ellis Bay formation and the upper Charleton correlate fairly well with his etage 5.[1]

In Baltic Russia, the Lyckholm and Borkholm formations are the equivalents of the lower parts of the Ellis Bay and parts of the English Head and Charleton formations. The Borkholm carries eighteen species of great diagnostic value which in the Anticosti section occur chiefly in the Ellis Bay and Charleton formations, and it is considered that the Borkholm holds about the same stratigraphic position as the lower zones of the former and the higher of the latter.

The Becsie River fauna shows its nearest relationships with

[1] Kiaer, Videnskabs-Selskabets Skrifter, I, Math-Naturv. Klasse, bd. ii.

that of the cataract formation of Schuchert; but if the long r
species be not considered, there are only three species comn
the two formations, while most of the Cataract species mak
first appearance in strata higher in the Anticosti section th
Becsie River formation, and, since the general expression
Cataract formation is younger, it is concluded that there i
basis for equivalence and that the Cataract should proba
correlated with the lower portion of the succeeding format

A fauna holding a stratigraphic position somewhat sim
that of the Becsie River is that of the Alexandrian series of I
and eastern Missouri; but of the total fauna of that series,
are only nine species which also occur in the Anticosti s
and, since they are mostly species of extended vertical dis
tion, their presence affords no basis for correlation. Hov
since four of the nine species do not appear in the Anticos
tion until the upper zone of this, or the succeeding formation
also, since the general appearance of the fauna is younger
that of the Becsie River, it is believed that it will find a
equivalence with the upper portion of this and some parts
succeeding formation.

The highest zone of the Gun River formation shows th
pearance of typical Clinton species, but the Clinton faunal a
blage does not attain full development until the succeeding Ju
River. Since the Jupiter River fauna correlates best wit
higher New York Clinton, the Williamson shale, and the Ir
quoit limestone, this being particularly true for that part
above zone 2, it is considered probable that the lower zon
the New York Clinton, the Sodus shale, Furnaceville ore bed
Walcott limestone, find representation in the lowest zones
Jupiter River and the highest zone of the Gun River, espe
as the Walcott limestone carries the same diagnostic foss
does zone 5 of the Gun River formation. It is further consi
probable that the middle and lower zones of the Gun Rive
mation are the Anticosti equivalents of the Cataract of sou
Ontario and the Brassfield of the Ohio valley. An appa
reminder of the Brassfield appears in zone 5 of the Gun I
formation in the occurrence of *Triplecia insularis anticosti*
which then extends until zone 3 of the Jupiter River. In a prev

paper considerable emphasis was placed on the presence of this species[1], there considered a variety of *T. ortoni;* but further study has shown that it is specifically distinct from that species and only varietally different from the Old World *T. insularis.*

The Chicotte formation carries a pronounced coral fauna of which most of the species are those which are common in the coral zones of lower horizons. The writer does not consider that the stratigraphic position of the coral fauna means anything in relation to correlation, for the Anticosti section proves without question that coral deposits are not necessarily of great horizontal stribution and may recur again and again with the faunal components practically the same. On stratigraphic grounds it is correlated for the present with the Irondequoit-Rochester of the New York section.

Elsewhere in the Anticosti embayment there are extensive Silurian deposits; but they are either somewhat younger than those of Anticosti or present a different type of sedimentation. Thus the Black Cape section of Chaleur bay, recently described by Clarke[2], begins with what appears to be the probable equivalent of the upper Jupiter River or the Chicotte, while the Arisaig section begins with a black shale lithology with a corresponding faunal assemblage, the result being that few species are common to the two series or deposits. These indicate that the Arisaig section begins with the equivalent of the upper portion of the Gun River formation and then continues upward nearly to the Devonian.

In terms of the European section, stratigraphic grounds would assign the Becsie River and Gun River formations to the Lower Llandovery; but, excepting the upper zones of the Gun River, the fauna gives little support. The upper zones of the Gun River record the appearance of *Pentamerus oblongus, Clorinda liguifera, Coelospira hemispherica, Stricklandinia davidsoni* (represented in Europe by *S. lens*) which make their appearance in the Lower Llandovery, but become abundant in the Upper Llandovery. These and other species and their vertical

[1] Schuchert and Twenhofel, Bull. Geol. Soc. Am., Vol. 21, 1911, p. 712.

[2] Clarke, Guide Book No. 1, pt. 1, International Geol. Congress, 1913, pp. 110-113.

distribution lead to the assignment of the upper zones t
Lower Llandovery and hence that which lies before has
similarly placed, although it is possible that the Becsie
may have no representation in the British section.

The greater portion of the Jupiter River formation is l
Llandovery, in which no less than thirty-nine identical or c
related species of Jupiter River forms occur—nearly thirt
cent of the Jupiter River fauna. The vertical distribution of
of the species sustains the correlation. *Triplecia insularis* ho
the Upper Llandovery, and its Anticosti variety appears fo
last time in zone 3 of the Jupiter River formation. *Pentar*
oblongus is rare in the Gun River, but very abundant in the Ju
River. In England it is rare in the Lower Llandovery, but a
dant in the Upper Llandovery. Many other species show
same distribution.

The English Wenlock carries a large coral fauna and in
respect is like the Chicotte, but in the writer's judgment th
semblance has no correlative value, as the Anticosti se
teaches that a coral reef formation may recur again and a
and locally lie at many different horizons. The English W
lock, however, has forty-nine species which have representati
identical or closely related forms in the Upper Jupiter River
Chicotte formations and these facts make it extremely prob
that these Anticosti strata have a time equivalence with
Wenlock.

In the Kristiana region, the Silurian (Lower Llandover
Wenlock) of the Ringerike section, there is a facies somew
similar to that of Anticosti, and has thirty-seven species which
represented by identical or closely related forms in the Antic
Silurian. The Lower Llandovery, Kiaer's etage 6, correl
fairly well with the Gun River and the upper portion of
Becsie River; while etage 7 or the Upper Llandovery, exhi
a close parallelism with the Jupiter River, and etage 8, or
Wenlock, shows close faunal equivalence with the upper Jup
River and the Chicotte formations.

NEW GENERA AND SPECIES OF FOSSILS FROM ANTICOSTI ISLAND.

The postponement of publication of the complete faunas of the Anticosti Island section until the completion of further field work, is the excuse for the present appearance of the descriptions that are given on the pages which follow. Since one of the generic terms has already been referred to by Professor T. E. Savage[1] and there is a prospect that another will soon be used by another student, it has seemed desirable and wise that their definitions and those of a few others of the more important forms be given. Bibliographies will be omitted as far as possible, leaving this to the complete description of the faunas.

Phylum, COELENTERATA.
Class, HYDROZOA HUXLEY.
Order, GRAPTOLOIDEA LAPWORTH.
Suborder, AXONOPHORA FRECH.
Genus, CLIMACOGRAPTUS HALL.

CLIMACOGRAPTUS TYPICALIS *var.* MAGNIFICUS *n. var.*

A common form in the Macasty black shales is a giant variety of the *C. typicalis* group and to this the above varietal name has been applied. It has the same type of rhabdosome with the rapidly narrowing sicular end and the two sicular spines. The rhabdosome attains a width of at least 4 mm. and an unknown length, but at least 70 mm. There are eleven to fourteen thecae in 10 mm. It differs from *C. typicalis* in being longer and wider.

Horizon and Locality. Ordovician; the specimens were collected at Macasty bay from a large block of the Macasty shale. The writer has collected similar specimens of almost the same size from the Utica black shale on the banks of the Rideau river at Ottawa, Canada.

The holotype is in Peabody Museum, Yale University.

Class, ACTINOZOA.
Order, MADREPORARIA MILNE-EDWARDS.

[1] Savage, Bull. Geol. Soc. Am., Vol. 24, 1913, p. 359.

Sub-order, TABULATA MILNE-EDWARDS AND HAIME.
Family, FAVOSITIDAE MILNE-EDWARDS AND HAIME
Genus, PALEOFAVOSITES *new genus*.

From the Ordovician and Silurian rocks of Anti
Billings described *Favosites prolificus* and *F. capax*, the
having the pores at the angles and the former having
It has since been learned that the two species are identica
also the same as *F. aspera* d'Orbigny and *F. alveolaris* Gol
the four species having the common character of having the
at the angles with none on the sides. It is proposed to in
corals of this type under the above generic name. As
defined the species will have for its genotype, *F. aspera*
bigny. The only other form to be included is a new one
described from the Anticosti section.

Phylum, MOLLUSCOI
Class, BRACHIOPODA DUMERIL.
Order, PROTREMATA BEECHER.
Super-family, ORTHACEA Walcott and Schuchert.
Genus, ORTHIS DALMAN (s. str.)
ORTHIS? LAMELLOSA *new species*.
(Plate I, figures 1–3)

Outline semielliptical, greatest width about halfway
beak to border where it is 8 mm.; 7 mm. wide at the hinge
thickness 4 mm.; length 6 mm. Sides of the shell straight
almost parallel, gently and uniformly curving around the
terior-lateral margins; anterior margin for about half the w
almost straight. Dorsal valve shallow with a broad m
sinus, beak slightly incurved. Ventral valve pyramidal,
highest portion, not incurved; no fold to correspond to
dorsal sinus; surface slopes uniformly from the beak to the ante
and lateral margins. The cardinal area as long as the hinge
2.5 mm. wide on the ventral valve, almost perpendicular
the plane of the lateral margins. Area of the dorsal valve
than 0.25 mm. wide and in the same plane as the lateral marg

Foramen narrow, about 0.25 mm. wide, sides almost parallel, extends to the beak and finds its other continuation in the dorsal valve. Wetting of the ventral area shows that narrow side plates are annexed to the sides of the foramen; these are supposed to be continuous with the teeth, as in *O. bouchardi*, the nearest related species. These plates simulate deltidial plates with which, however, they are probably in no way homologous.

This species finds its nearest relative in *O. bouchardi* Davidson, from the Wenlock of England and Scotland, from which it differs in having no ventral sinus, the sides of the foramen parallel instead of converging to the beak, the ventral area making a right instead of an acute angle with the plane of the lateral margins, no longitudinal striations on the area such as exist in that species, and in being more finely plicate with all the plications reaching the beak. That species also has the ventral area curved and the beak incurved.

Horizon and Locality. Ordovician; Ellis bay in zone 5 of the Ellis Bay formation.

The holotype is in Peabody Museum, Yale University. Only a single specimen has been collected.

Superfamily, STROPHOMENACEA SCHUCHERT.

STROPHOPRIAN *new subgenus.*

The above subgeneric term is proposed for those resupinate forms of the Strophomenidae which are like *Strophonella* except that they have some ten or a dozen denticulations along the hinge line instead of a completely denticulated hinge margin. That is, these forms mark the inception of the *Strophonella* stock, *Strophoprion* holding the same relation to *Strophonella* that *Brachyprion* does to *Stropheodonta*. In one line of development there are *Strophomena—Strophoprion—Strophonella;* in the other *Rafinesquina—Leptaena?* (*ceres—nitens* stock, not *rhomboidalis*)*—Brachyprion—Stropheodonta.* The type of Strophoprion is *Strophoprion geniculatum* (Shaler) (*Brachyprion geniculatum* Shaler, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool., vol. 1, No. 4, p. 63, 1865).

Genus, TRIPLECIA HALL.

TRIPLECIA INSULARIS *var.* ANTICOSTIENSIS *new varie*

1871. Orthis insularis Davidson, Mon. Brit. Foss. Brach., vol. iii, p. 273, pl. xxxvii, figs. 8-15.
1910. Triplecia ortoni Schuchert and Twenhofel, Bull. Geol. Soc. A 21, p. 710.

The discovery of this somewhat widely ranging European species, in the lowest Clinton deposits of the A section, is a matter of considerable interest, since it h previously been definitely recognized in America althou probable presence in the Anticosti rocks was mention Davidson. It is somewhat larger than the European for has a deeper ventral sinus.

Horizon and Locality. Silurian; Gun River (5), a mile west of Jupiter River; Jupiter River (3), Jupiter

The holotype and plesiotypes are in Peabody Museu

Genus, CHONETES FISHER.

CHONETES (EODEVONARIA) PRIMIGENIUS *new species*
(Plate I, figures 4–5).

The shell of this new species closely resembles th *Brachyprion leda* (Billings) and was at first mistaken fo species. Hinge line greatest width, average 9 to 12 mm., a length 6 to 8 mm. Ventral valve moderately convex, bu nearly so much so as in *Plectambonites*. In the Ellis Bay f tion specimens were found attached by the dorsal val the shells of other brachiopods, but whether this has any s cance or not is unknown. There are four small spin each side of the beak. The surface of each valve is co with numerous fine striæ—about one hundred and fifty to valve—and in the centre of the ventral valve is a single stri very much stronger than any other, such as occurs in *Lept nitens*, whose ventral interior that of this shell also closel sembles. The hinge area is striated as in *Brachyprion* The dorsal interior is not known.

This is the earliest known appearance of this genus and since it is already a fully developed *Chonetes* it follows that it originated still earlier in the Ordovician. From its decided resemblance to *Brachyprion leda* it is extremely probable that both came from the same stock, viz.; a small leptaenoid? with a narrow muscle scar, fine plications, and a single central plication of large size. In the Anticosti measures *Leptaena? nitens* answers to this description.

C. primigenius is smaller than the European *C. striatella* and more finely striate; it is larger than *C. cornutus* from the New York Clinton; it is about the same size and shape as *C. tenuistriatus* from the Arisaig Silurian, but that shell does not appear to have the prominent mid striation and is less finely striate.

Horizon and Locality. Ordovician and Silurian. The species first appears on the north side of Anticosti in zone 3 of the Charleton formation. Its next appearance is at Ellis bay in the Ellis Bay formation and again at Wreck beach in the Gun River formation. A single specimen was collected at the Jumpers in zone 9 of the Jupiter River formation.

The holotypes and paratypes are in Peabody Museum.

Superfamily, PENTAMERANA SCHUCHERT.

Genus, VIRGIANA *new genus.*

(Virgie, proper name.)

The generic name of Clorinda was proposed by Barrande for shells of which casts of the interior showed a series of strong ridges radiating from the umbonal ridge of the pedicle valve, these being produced by the vascular or ovarian sinuses. He stated that his two species were pentameroids not unlike *C. linguifera.* For this group Hall and Clarke proposed the generic name of *Barrandella*, the genus including shells which externally are moderately transverse, ventral valve the larger, moderately galeatiform, with a sinus on the ventral valve and a fold on the dorsal. In the Becsie River formation of the Anticosti section occurs the shell described by Billings as *Pentamerus barrandei* which in its young stages has all the characters of a true *Clorin-*

da. With maturity, however, the shell attains larg becomes decidedly elongate, narrow, and pronouncedly tiform and the fold and sinus become reversed, the latte obliterated and transformed into a fold by the devel of an axial rib and the former disappearing through bifu of the initial fold producing a sinus at the margin. The i is that of *Clorinda*.

For this type of clorindoid the generic name of *Virg* proposed: the genus to include *V. barrendei*—the genc and two varieties of that species.

Order, PROTREMATA BEECHER.
Superfamily, RHYNCHONELLACEA SCHUCHERT.
Genus, CAMAROTOECHIA HALL AND CLARKE.
CAMAROTOECHIA DECEMPLICATA (SOWERBY).

1866. Rhynchonella Eva Billings, Cat. Sil. Foss. Anticosti, p. 44.
1871. Rhynchonella decemplicata Davidson, Mon. Brit. Foss. Brac iii, pt. vii, p. 177, pl. xxiii, figs. 20-24.
1900. Anabaia anticostiana Clarke, Archivos do Museu Nacional do Janeiro, vol. 10, 1899, Author's Eng. Ed., p. 15, pl. i, figs. 26-28

This shell was described by Billings in 1866, as *Rhync eva*. Subsequently (1900) Doctor John M. Clarke figu specimen with a size somewhat above the norm, from the collection at Harvard. It came from East cliff, Anticos had been collected by the Harvard expedition of 1861. specimen Clarke was not able to identify with any of t scriptions of Billings and finding that it bore considera semblance to his *Anabaia paraia* from Brazil, he descri as *A. anticostiana*. A large series of specimens was co at the type locality of both forms and from the descri of Billings and from specimens in the Victoria Memorial Mu these were identified as *Rhynchonella eva*. They were compared with the holotype of *A. anticostiana* and th species were found to be identical. The genus *Anab* spire bearing and is referred to the Coelospiridae. More a dozen specimens of *R. eva* were studied by grinding and e with hydrochloric acid and no traces of anything reser

spires were seen although the preservation was such that traces of them were to be expected had they been present. On the contrary the internal structure is rhynchonelloid and as no vestige of a cardinal process appears to be present the species apparently is to be referred to the genus *Camarotoechia*. Through the kindness of Professor Johan Kiaer the writer was able to obtain specimens of *Rhynchonella decemplicata* from etage 6 (Zone, with *Rhynchonella 10-plicata*) of the Silurian Ringerike section of the Kristiana region and the identity of the two species was clearly shown. As the European name has priority by over twenty-five years, the American name must yield.

Horizon and Locality. Silurian; Gun River (4–5), Cape Sand Top bay, East cliff, and west of Jupiter river. In Norway the species is limited to Kiaer's zone c of etage 6, the topmost zone of the Lower Llandovery.

Anticosti plesiotypes of this species are in both the Victoria Memorial (No. 2449) and Peabody Museums.

Superfamily, TEREBRATULACEA WAAGEN.
Division, TEREBRATULOIDS SCHUCHERT.
Family, PROTOZEUGIDAE *new family.*

Primitive Terebratuloids with loops like that of *Magellania* but developing without metamorphosis. The shells are small, smooth, biconvex with the ventral valve subcarinate and the dorsal with a sinus.

PROTOZEUGA *new genus.*
(Protos, first; zeugos, a yoke).

1882. Waldheima Davidson, Suppl. Sil. Foss. Brach., p. 76.

This new genus is proposed to include a group of small Palæozoic brachiopods which constitute the oldest known terebratulids and which are characterized by the possession of a long loop similar to the matured structure seen in *Waldheimia* or *Magellania* to which these little shells have been erroneously referred.

Diagnosis of the Genus. Shells extremely small; ge
longer than wide; anterior margin straight or reentrant; v
valve very convex, subcarinate with a narrow median gro
the anterior margin; dorsal valve only slightly convex at the
rior end, but concave with a deep sinus at the anterior
and in this sinus there may be a small rib; surface of both
smooth. Dorsal hinge plate with a distinct cardinal p
from which an elevated median ridge extends almost to t
terior margin. The crura are slender, short, almost horiz
giving off two triangular crural apophyses which conver
ward and ventralward almost to the point of meeting.
principal lamellæ extend forward to within a short distan
the front and are then reflected posteriorly to form the
which is not angular, but uniformly curved; it rises
the primary lamellæ until its apex is on a level with the
apophyses, having been reflected a distance equal to abou
the length of the primary lamellæ. Shell structure plent
but not thickly punctate (this was demonstrated by tr
the shell with hydrochloric acid and specimens so treate
studded with small needle-like elevations) Genotype
heimia mawii Davidson.

The matured loop of this genus is very like that of the
metamorphosed form as developed in *Waldheimia* or *Magel*
but the resemblance is one of parallelism. In *Protozeu*
loop develops direct and without metamorphosis in a way s
to that of the Devonian Centronellidae, while in *Waldheir*
Magellania the mature loop is the final stage of a great se
developmental changes. This character and others given
diagnosis show *Protozeuga* to be a primitive type of terebr
whose systematic position is near the Centronellidae; bu
family distinct therefrom, the Protozeugidae. To this gen
referred *Waldheimia mawii; W.? glassii* Davidson, a some
larger form whose brachial apparatus has not yet been de
stated, both from the upper Wenlock of Shropshire; *W. l*
nata Angelin from Gotland, considered by Davidson as id
cal with *W. mawii; Protozeuga sulcomarginata* Savage fro
Girardeau Limestone of Illinois and Missouri (Bull. Geol
Mo., vol. 24, p. 359, 1913); and the new species from Ant
described as *Protozeuga anticostiana*.

PROTOZEUGA ANTICOSTIANA *new species.*
(Plate I, figures 8-10).

Shell very small, longitudinally pentagonal; anterior angles gently rounded, front straight; cardinal angles more abruptly rounded than anterior; cardinal slopes straight, meeting at about 90 degrees; an average specimen is 5 mm. long, 4 mm. wide, depth of both valves 2.25 mm., surface smooth; shell structure punctate as shown by etching with hydrochloric acid.

Ventral valve highly convex, deepest about one-third the length, keeled at the beak, toward the middle of the valve the keel widens out to a flat-topped fold which at the anterior margin is replaced by a sulcus; slopes to the lateral margins quite steep and at the cardinal angles the surface is slightly concave. Beak small, narrow, truncated by a small foramen, incurved and overarching the hinge line; no area.

Dorsal valve convex posteriorly and laterally, slightly depressed or concave just anterior to the hinge and divided into two lobes by a wide uniformly concave sulcus.

This shell closely resembles *Protozeuga mawii* (Davidson), but is slightly larger and proportionately wider. It occurs in much older strata and, while its brachial apparatus has not been demonstrated, its strong resemblance to the ab . species shows it to be congeneric.

Horizon and Locality. Ordovician; English Head (2–3), English head; Charleton (2–3), English bay and White cliff of the north shore.

The holotype and paratypes are in Peabody Museum.

Superfamily, SPIRIFERACEA WAAGEN.
Family, ATRYPIDAE GILL.
Subfamily, LISSATRYPINAE *new subfamily.*
Smooth atrypoids with the external aspect of *Nucleospira.*

Genus, LISSATRYPA *new genus.*
(Lissos, smooth; atrypa).

In 1866 Billings described from Gull cape (Wreck beach),

Anticosti, a smooth brachiopod to which he gave the na
Athyris lara. He called attention to the fact that some
mens have a faint indication of a mesial sinus in the ventral
but are generally without either fold or sinus.

In 1882 Davidson stated that Mr. Glass had been a
expose the spirals of *Athyris lara* and that these "entirely res
those of *Atrypa*, the apex of each vertical cone being di
towards the middle of the bottom of the dorsal valve."
shells were collected by Doctor G. J. Hinde near Jupiter
and it is now known that they were not correctly identified

Specimens of *Athyris lara* which were collected at th
locality and compared with the proterotypes show that
true meristellid and probably to be referred to the genus
fieldia. This leaves the shells whose structure was work
by Mr. Glass without a name. The writer has also dev
the internal structure of several of the Jupiter River
and there is no question but that their spirals are of the at
type. Externally they have the expression of *Nucle*
but lack the hirsute exterior. For atrypoids having
characters the generic name of *Lissatrypa* is proposed.

Diagnosis. Shell of medium size, lenticular, subov
subpentagonal in outline, greatest width near the m
both valves of nearly the same convexity, a faint sinus i
specimens at the anterior margin of the ventral valve, a
sponding small fold in the dorsal, in some specimens the a
margin slightly linguate; hinge short, gently curved; no
beak and umbones small, surface smooth with concentric
læ; shell structure fibrous and on exfoliation it has a silky

Beak of ventral valve closely incurved and in contac
the dorsal valve; foramen triangular, extending to the
line, no covering observed; teeth relatively large, diver
an angle of about 135 degrees, summits rounded and
slightly toward the centre of the shell; they rise from the
slopes of the interior and are unsupported by lamellæ; m
impressions apparently very faint.

Dorsal valve with a faint sinus at the umbo; hing
composed of two diverging processes meeting at the a
about 60 degrees; each has two longitudinal grooves d

it into three small ridges of unequal size of which the outer overhangs the dental sockets and ends abruptly and free, the inner ridges small. The middle ridges are slightly the longest and bear the crura which converge toward the dorsal valve for about one-sixth the length of the shell, where two knob-like crural apophyses are developed and almost come in contact. At the origin of these apophyses the primary lamellæ are abruptly recurved and develop the vertical spirals on which each has eight turns or less and has the apex directed toward the central area of the dorsal valve.

The genotype is *Lissatrypa atheroidea*, the specific name being selected to call attention to the fact that the shell resembles an *Athyris* (*Athyris;* oidos, like). According to Professor Schuchert (personal communication) *Atrypa phoca* (Salter) is also to be referred to this genus.

LISSATRYPA ATHEROIDEA *new species*
(Athyris, oidos, like)
(Plate I, figures 11-15)

1882. Athyris lata Davidson (not Billings), Suppl. [illegible] (21)
1910. Nucleospira n. sp., Schuchert and Twenhofel, [illegible] Sci. Acad., vol. 21, p. 714

Shell with the characters of the genus; width 14 mm., length 14 mm.; depth of both valves 7 mm.

This shell is very apt to be mistaken for *Whitfieldia? lata* (Billings), a mistake which has already been made. For final determination it is necessary to see the character of the spiral. *W.? lata*, however, has a somewhat more prominent ventral beak, is slightly larger, less often has the ventral sinus and dorsal fold and does not have a layered structure to the shell. These differences, however, can not be relied on, since there are many specimens which so far as external characters are concerned may be put in either species.

Horizon and Locality. Silurian; Gun River (5), about a mile west of Jupiter river; Jupiter River (3-5), mouth of Jupiter river.

The cotypes are in Peabody Museum.

Family, MERISTELLIDAE HALL AND CLARKE.

HYATTIDINA CHARLETONA *new species.*

(Plate I, figures 6-7.)

The single specimen upon which this species is based was discovered on a slab from Charleton point, the same slab containing *Phragmolites pannosa*, *Zygospira recurvirostra* n. var., and other Richmond fossils. Had it occurred in higher strata no hesitancy would have been felt in referring it to *H. congesta junea*, although it is somewhat smaller, proportionately longer, and has a small longitudinal groove on the dorsal fold which is not present in that species. The general shape is elongate ovate, the posterior outline being trigonal, the anterior two-thirds elliptical. The apical angle is about 110 degrees. Both valves are convex, the ventral slightly the more. The beak of the ventral valve is small, narrow, pointed at the apex, beneath which is a small foramen. A medium ridge, grooved toward the front, extends from the umbo to the anterior margin. From the depression bounding this ridge the surface slopes to the lateral margins. The dorsal valve is marked by three convex lobes of which the middle widens towards the margin and becomes divided by a longitudinal groove. No area has been seen on either valve. The shell is 4 mm. long, 3.5 mm. wide about mid length, and 1.25 mm. thick just in front of the umbo.

No hesitation is felt in referring this little shell to the genus *Hyattidina* though the interior has not been seen. This genus has hitherto in America not been found below the Clinton, but in England *Rhynchonella? portlockiana* Davidson [demonstrated by Reed to belong to the genus *Hyattidina* (Reed, Quar. Jour. Geol. Soc., 1897, p. 75)] ranges from the upper Llandeilo to the Bala; hence its appearance in American strata as early as the Richmond should occasion no surprise. It is further probable that *H. charleton* is a migrant from the British seas and is in the direct line of ancestry to *H. congesta*, since it chiefly differs from *H. portlockiana* in having the lateral slopes near the cardinal angles concave instead of convex, and Reed states that the latter differs from *H. congesta* only in the "presence of a short median septum in the brachial valve, and in the greater length of the process of the loop."

Horizon and Locality. Ordovician; Charleton (3), Charleton point.

The holotype and only known specimen is in Peabody Museum.

Phylum. ARTHROPODA.
Class, CRUSTACEA.
Subclass, TRILOBITA WALCH.
Order, OPISTHOPARIA BEECHER.
Family, OLENIDAE BURMEISTER.
Genus, TRIARTHURS GREEN.

TRIARTHRUS BECKI *var.* MACASTYENSIS *new variety.*

1910. Triarthus spinosus Schuchert and Twenhofel, Bull. Geol. Soc. Am., vol. 21, p. 694.

This new form is like *T. becki* except in one respect. The facial sutures are slightly more sinuous and in front they diverge from the axis instead of converging as in *T. becki*. The glabella of the most perfect specimen is 3.5 mm. wide; 4.5 mm. long; the entire cephalon 5 mm. long. That it grew to a larger size is proven by a specimen which has the cephalon at least 8 mm. long. The same type of facial suture is seen in the *T. becki* from the Collingwood black shale of Ottawa, Canada, and Doctor Ruedeman has called the writer's attention to the fact that *T. jemtlandicus* Lindstrom has a similar facial suture, though otherwise different.

Horizon and Locality. Ordovician; evidently present in considerable abundance in the Macasty black shales.

The holotype and a single paratype are in Peabody Museum.

Explanation of Plate I.

Figs. 1–3. *Orthis lamellosa* new species.
" 1. View of the dorsal valve of holotype, x 3, Ellis bay, zone 9 of Ellis Bay formation, holotype in Peabody Museum, Yale University
" 2. Ventral valve of holotype, x 3.
" 3. Outline view of holotype, x 3.

Figs. 4–5. *Chonetes primigenius* new species.
4. View of a small slab containing the holotype (middle shell) and two paratypes, x 2, Wreck beach, zone 3 of the Gun River formation, specimen in Peabody Museum.
" 5. View of a specimen from Charleton point, x 2, zone 3 of Charleton formation, specimen in Peabody Museum.

Figs. 6–7. *Hyattidina charletona* new species.
" 6. View of dorsal valve of holotype, x 4, Charleton point, zone 3, of Charleton formation, specimen in Peabody Museum.
" 7. Ventral view of same specimen, x 4.

Figs. 8–10. *Protozeuga anticostiana* new species.
" 8. Outline view of holotype, x 4, Macasty bay, zone 4 of English Head formation, specimen in Peabody Museum.
9. Dorsal valve of holotype, x 4.
" 10. Ventral valve of holotype, x 4.

Figs. 11–15. *Lissatrypa atheroidea* new species.
" 11. Outline of holotype, x 2, Jupiter River cliff, zone 3 of Jupiter River formation, specimen in Peabody Museum.
" 12. Hinge plate of ventral valve, x 2, same locality and horizon, specimen in Peabody Museum.
" 13. Dorsal valve of holotype, x 2.
" 14. Dorsal aspect of the spirals, x 2, same locality and horizon as preceding, specimen in Peabody Museum.
" 15. Ventral view of holotype, x 2.


1
2
4
6
3
7
5
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15

The first number of the Museum Bulletin was entitled, *Victoria Memorial Museum Bulletin, Number 1*.

The following articles of the Geological Series of Museum Bulletins have been issued:

Geological Series

1. The Trenton crinoid, Ottawacrinus, W. R. Billings; by E. A. Bather.
2. Note on Merocrinus, Walcott; by E. A. Bather.
3. The occurrence of Heloderm teeth at Roche Miette and vicinity, Alberta; by L. M. Lambe.
4. Notes on Cyclocystoides; by P. E. Raymond.
5. Notes on some new and old Trilobites in the Victoria Memorial Museum; by P. E. Raymond.
6. Description of some new Asaphidæ; by P. E. Raymond.
7. Two new species of Tetradium; by P. E. Raymond.
8. Revision of the species which have been referred to the genus Bathyurus (preliminary paper); by P. E. Raymond.
9. A new Brachiopod from the base of the Utica; by A. E. Wilson.
10. A new genus of dicotyledonous plant from the Tertiary of Kettle river, British Columbia; by W. J. Wilson.
11. A new species of Lepidostrobus; by W. J. Wilson.
12. Pechnite from Adams sound, Admiralty inlet, Baffin island, Franklin; by R. A. A. Johnston.
13. The origin of granite (micropegmatite) in the Purcell sills; by S. J. Schofield.
14. Columnar structure in limestone; by E. M. Kindle.
15. Supposed evidences of subsidence of the coast of New Brunswick within modern time; by J. W. Goldthwait.
16. The Pre-Cambrian Beltian of southeastern British Columbia and their correlation; by S. J. Schofield.
17. Early Cambrian stratigraphy in the North American Cordillera, with discussion of the Albertella and related faunas; by Lancaster D. Burling.
18. A preliminary study of the variations of the plications of Parastrophia hemiplicata, Hall; by Alice E. Wilson.